Out lines of the Chief Figures ony PAV EMEN

Out lines of the Chief Figures ony PAV EMEN

AT ACCOUNT of

A

Roman PAVEMENT,

Lately found at

STUNSFIELD

LN

OXFORD-SHIRE,

Prov'd to be 1400 Years Old

By JOHN POINTER, M. A. Chaplain of Merton College in Oxford, and Rector of Stapton in Northampton Line.

Imprimatur,

BERN. GARDINER,

June 30. 1713.

Vice-Canc. OXON.

OXFORD:

Printed by Leonard Liebfield, for Anth. Peifley Bookseller: And are to be Sold by J. Morphen, near Stationer's Hall, LONDON. 1713.

Price Six-Pence.

10 THUODDA BA

Roman PA, VENMENTT



Ay TOLVIN POLICE CONDENS OF THE COND

Impainance of the property of the policy of

CX FORDS

Enough by Leonard Lie 145, for 17th Polfley
But follow: And are to be solded by Marsham,
west bearings followed by Late VD 011, 1713.

piftles Deducatory To Tanglis might

Rev Dr. HOLLAND.

Doctor of Divinity,

The very Worthy Warden of Merion College in OXFORD.

Reverend SIR !

Have chosen to Dedicate this Trifle to You, as my Patron and Benefactor, the I must confess my self at a loss for an Apology for the Dedication. For my Boldness in prefixing so Great a Name, to so small a Thing, is such a Fault as no Clemency, but Yours, would pardon. Yet I hope in a little Time to Publish something else, that, I state my self, may be more deserving Your Ratronage.

And here now, How justly might I take occasion of falling in with the prevailing Humaur of the Age, of running into

Epittle Dedicatory.

into Panegyrick, as is usual in such Epiftles Dedicatory! How justly might I take this Opportunity of extolling those many excellent Virtues and Accomplishments, that shine-out with so great a Lustre throughout Your whole Conduct! How safely might I applaud Your regular and benign Government of Your College, which we are all so sensible of; and happy under! Those excellent Precepts You give, and that shining Example You shew to all under Your auspicious Care and Jurisdiction! How eafily might I expatiate upon Your constant and unspotted Loyalty to the Best of QUEENS! Tour firm and Steady Adberence to the Sound Principles of the Best of Churches, and that in the Times of Difficulty as well as Properity Whilst some People are warp'd by Interest, and bias d by Preferment, You, (like the constant maning Sun, radiant in the mide of tempestuous Clouds) and Still most exactly performing four Re volution, Still doing Town Drutk. WHow might I praise Your Moderation, buoons unto all Man! Tour well-negularisid, Tour :7770

26

e

Eline Pandicatoria

four bright, but not hurning Zeal in acred Things! How might I proceed to dmire your Private, as well as Pubick Endowments; Your Aquanimity, nd Evenness of Temper; Your Soundess of Judgment, and deep Learning! four Integrity of Life, and Converation; Your Discretion, and Graceful ustness of Decorum, so conspicuous in Il Your Words and Actions; Your ourteous, Genteel and Generous Behajour to ards all Men. But these are opicks too large to be infisted on in a edicatory Epistle, and besides they are ch as speak Themselves, and will for ver fpeak, Post Mortem loquetur volior Fama; and therefore are such I Shall rather endeavour to Copy ter, than pretend to Enlarge upon, tegrity and Honesty, Piety and Virtue, re more durable Monuments than the rdest Marbles of the most Illustrious ero's: For, they not only beget a conant and lasting Tranquility of Mind, t only procure the Good-will and Eeem of Men, but (which is more) they e such as will never fail to entitle You, SIR,

,

.

A.

-

.

A

1

U

d

r

Epiftle Dedicatory.

SIR, to the Protection and Benediction of Heaven. But

Serus in Cœlum redeas, diuque Lætus interfis Collegio----

is the hearty Prayer of,

SIR,

Your most Humble,

Most Faithful, and

chan frak Thems " es, an

fleast rather endraviour

verity and honesty, Picty and

bero's they rest early beget

come of sheet line (across of

iche tou tonge to be wifeel

Most Obedient Servant,

JOHN POINTER

only processed the

the surface of the second

An Account

OFA

Roman PAVEMENT,

Lately found in

OXFORD-SHIRE.

7 ANUART the 25th, 1711.

N the Parish of Stunsfield, in Oxford-fbire, a farall Village, Two Miles North West from Woodstock as a Country Parmer (one George Hames) was plowing his Land, his Plow-share happen'd to his upon fome Foundation-Stones, amongst which, he turn'd up an'URN; which made the Farmer have the curiofity of fearthing farther, whereupon he discovered a Large, and Entire Ancient Teffe Rated Roman Pavement, 35 Foot in Length, and 20 in Breadth, not above 2 Foot under Ground. The Superficies of it is all Import and level, and compos'd of little fquare pieces of Brick, and Stone, about the bigness of Dice, generally speaking, but fome larger, and fome smaller, of 6 different Colours, (viz. Blue, Red, Yellow, Ash-Colour, Milk-White, and Dark-Brown) orderly dispos'd into Works, and strongly cemented together, upon a Bed of Morear, about a Foot in Thickness, supported by ribb'd Arch Work under-heath. This Pavement, by its equal Division, into a different forts of Work, should feem to have fere'd for 2 different Rooms: But be that as it will, I choose to confider it, at prefent, as it is now but One entire Pavement.

That part of the Field, where it was discover'd, is call'd Chest-Hill, and sometimes Chest-Hill-Acre in some old Leases of this Land, being a Rising Ground, about half a Furlong from the Old Roman Akemanstreet. Way, and

about 3 Furlongs off Stunsfield Town.

A late Industrious Author (for whom I have a great Respect, tho' at the same time I have been sore'd, for the Truth's sake, to write against him) has in his 8th Vol. of L—d, given the World a pretty large Account of this Parement, and makes no question, but that it is Roman; and I know no body that differts from him in this Particular.

Altho' it be certain (says he) that other People us'd the same fort of Work, long after the breaking of the Northern Nations into the Roman Empire, yet there are no Historians (as I know of) that observe, that this kind of Work was practis'd here in Britain, either by the Saxons, or by the Danes, or by the Normans. On the contrary, it is clear, that before the Normans, the Buildings in this Isle were very rude and mean: The Architect's were illiterate, and understood nothing of Curious Workmanship, much less could they pretend to the Opera-Musiva. And therefore, Page 11th, he

very justly concludes this Work to be Roman.

And indeed we are sufficiently convincid, from several credible Historians, that the Old Romans were wont to make such fort of Pavements, as were compos'd of little fourre bits of Bricks and Marbles, about the bigness of Dice; whereof the Roman Generals, amongst the rest of their Baggage, were us'd to carry a Quantity, fufficient to pave the Place where they fet up the Pratorium, or General's Tent; or at least, some part of it, as we are particularly informed by Suetonius in the Life of Julius Cafar, In expeditionibus Teffellata & Sectilia Pavimenta circumtuliffe. These, if made of small square Marbles of divers Natural Colours, were call'd Authopole, (i.e. Teffellatis lapillis frata) But if of fmall Bricks or Tiles, artificially zing'd with Colours, anneal'd and polish'd, Pavimenta Tellellata, or Opera Mufiva. i. e. what we call Mofaic, but more properly Musaique Work. As we learn from Everal Roman, and other Authors, particularly Parre,

Vitravius, Aufonius, Perraleus, Papinius, Suarefins, Velferus, Kircherus, Goreeus, and Bergierius, which laft Author gives us a full Account of these Pavements, in the 10th Tome of Gravius's Thefaur. Antiquitat. Rome. pag. 139. Alia Pavimenta (lays he) instrata erant Lapidibus Majoribus, alia Minoribus. Mujores voco Lapides, qui trientem Gultra; Minores, qui infra trientem ad faba ufque parvitatem patent Hi Seallia! illi Teffera appellantur. - Teffera apud nos vulgo in Templis vifuntur, ubi fere folent esse Coloris simplicis, quandoque Duplicis, in certos ordines digesta & disposita. Vocabantar Sectilia aut Segmenta, quia in minores particulas secta ad convenientem proportionem operis conferende de coagmentandi — Segmentorum Colores, aliterant Nativi, seue ab ipfa Natura; Alii ab arte, five Picti.-Sectilia Pavimenta primo in usum venerunt circa tempora Catonis (fenioris) - Ex Segmentis Pictis proprie conflant Opera Musiva vel Musaica. — Pavimenta forma lapidea, operis tam Segmentati simplicis quam Musivi, Gracis dicta fucunt una voce Aibigrola, quast dicas Lapidibus Arata: Tale for fan fuit Pavimentum ad Tribunal Pilati. Toror Aidospolor, Hebraice vero Gabbatha.

1

C

e

f

d

9

al

to

le

of

of

nt

ic-

cu-

In

116-

ers

atis

ally

nta

acc,

mon

rro,

Vi

And we are inform'd by Salmafius, in his Pliniana Exercitationes, that the Superstructures of these Tents vere cover'd with Tiles and Slats, and that the Parietes, r Side-Walls, were what we call Tear-Walls, composid f nothing but Timber and Plaister; the Infides, or Liings of which Walls were adorn'd with Chequered Paintings, in imitation of the Pavements, as we are told y the same aforemention'd Author, Berguerius, pag. 141, luston opera maxime serviebant incrustandis Muris 69 arietibus, in Templis, Palatiis, Cubiculis Magnatum. hat our Stunsfield Tent had fuch Side-Walls, one may ness from the several pieces of Painted Plaistering found pon the Infide of the Foundation Walls. And that the perstructure was cover'd with Slats, one may guess from e great many blats found amongst the Rubbish, mixt ith pieces of burnt Timber, Mortar and Nails. And at there were other Rooms, contiguous to this chief oom of the Pratorium, one may guels from the Fountion-Walls they have discovered all

And probably those Holes, on each fide of the Pave. ment, ferv'd for no other use but to fix in the lower ends of the upright Side-Pieces, that were the main Supporters of the Side-Walls, or, at least, some pieces of Timber, to fix the Frame Ready. But our Author has quice another Opinion of these Holes, and is pleas'd (page 26.) to call em Chanels, or Passages, which he Supposes to have been delign'd to convey the Heat from a Subterranean Stone to every Room, and thinks em to have been of the fame Nature with those men-'tion'd by Palladio, in his Tract De Focis Voterum, Print. ed at the end of his Miguitates Urbis Rome. Indeed the Subterranean Want is shallow enough to be one Continued Stope, for the Cavity underneath the Pavement is not Capacious enough for Man to get under, any otherwife than by creeping upon all Four. But if the Cavity did really ferve for a Stope, one wou'd expect to find fome Remains of an Hypocaust, some oblong, square, hollow Bricks, that ferv'd for that purpose: But there is nothing to be discovered in this Subterranean Kault, but an obtuse fort of ribb'd Arch-Work, and the Bottom cover'd with clean Gravel; whereas had it ferv'd for a Stone, they would furely have found After instead of Gravel: Which inclines me to think that this Vault cou'd ferve for no other use but to keep the upper Pave ment dry. I grant, that some of the Luxurious Roman. might make use of such delicate Fires, such nice Subter ranean Stoves, in their Palaces at Home, but scarce in their Camps Abroad; in their Bagnio's, but not in their Tents. And I don't find that the aforemention'd Palladie speaks of 'em as us'd any where elfe; - Canales (fays he) illi bedie conspicui sunt in plurimis Adificiis intra c extra Romam, vetuftate divulfis.

Another thing observable, and which our Author takes but little notice of, is the black, whole, and dried Corn, with which our Stansfield Pavement was covered above half a Foot, and in some places near a Foot deep This Corn I suppose to be laid on, on purpose to preservit. It it be ask'd, How the Corn it self could be preserved under Ground for so long time? I answer, How

Chescranean Trees preferv'd that have bee

h secon rh

[s] often found in Cornwall, Chefbire, and other Places (often mention'd by Camden and Children), and some Nat-Trees, with Nuts on em, supposed to have lain buries there ever fince Noab's Flood. How are Grapes, Gooles berries, and other Fruits preferv'd under Ground? And why not Corn the same way? Indeed Human Bodies ros in their Graves, by reason of their internal Moisture, but Mummies, and Bodies embalm'd, are preferv'd from Putrefaction. Witness the Agyptian Pyramias, where have been many Bodies found still entire, that have been embalm'd almost 3000 Years ago. The natural Reason of all which is, that they are preferred from Air and Water, which two Elements prey upon all Bodies, even the Hardest and most Compact. And I question not, but our Subtervanean Corn had been taken up much freiher, had not the Tumulus, or Heap of Earth, that ley over t, been worn away of late Years by the Plough, and to the Wet taken it. Another Objection may be this, How do we know, but that this Corn might be only the Cary that was Sown there? I answer, had it been the Core that was Sown there, from time to time, one would have expected to have found several sorts of Grain mixt together, and all of em blended with Earth; whereas he Corn, that cover'd the Papement, and lay to thick ipon it, was nothing elfe but Wheat only, without any mixture of other Grain, or even of Barth, Which inclines me to believe, that it was dried and laid-on for no other end and purpole, but to preferve the Paventers, and keep it dry. For the Romans, when they left Britain, did use to burn and demolish these Pratorian Tents, and ury their Pavements (or rather cast Tumulus's, or Heaps f Earth over 'em) as being too large and cumbersom for Carriage, after they were made up; and perhaps, pos vithout some thoughts of returning some time or other as our Author very well observes) and as we know hey did feveral times, to the affiltance of the Britains, gainst their then troublesom Neighbours, the Pietr and cols: For otherwise we cannot conceive, how these Pavements cou'd have been conceal'd for fo many Hunred Years. That Acre, or Peice of Ground, on which his Tent was Built, had undoubtedly its Name of Cheft-

n

8

4

e

at

22

n.

it.

ed

n-

13

ity

me

WC

10-

out

om

of

ve.

ans

ter-

e in

neif

adie

Cays

40

thor

TIC

er'd

leep

erv

pre

Hoy

bee

Hill-Acre, from the little Factitious-Hill, or Tumular, that was cast over it to conceal it, but after it became Arable Ground, this Tumulus was by degrees worn down by the Plough, as that Part of the Military. Way, that ran mear it, was. And it was call'd Cheft-Hill, from the old Saxon word Chefter, or Ceaftre, or Caftor; which words were form'd from the Roman Cuftram, as we learn from Mr. Burton, in his Commentary on Antoninus's Itinerary through Britain, Pag. 41. And as the Reve and Ingenious Mr. Morton tells us, in his Natural History of Northamptonfoire, lately publish'd, pag. 512. The reason of so much Corn in this General or Officer's Tent, was, to be fure, his Provision for his Army, and it might be quickly after Harvest when this Station was deserted, and then not knowing what otherwise to do with this Store, they might put it to this use. For 'tis well known, that the Roman Tents had their Grainaries amongst other necessary Places, adjoining to em; And this Grainary was call'd Herreum, in quo condita erat Annona, five Frumenta & Legumina que militibus distruebantur As we learn from the Roman Writers, particularly Franciscus Patricius in his Res-Militaris. Romana: In the 949th Page of Gravius's Thefaur. Antiq. Roman. Tom. 10.

Another thing very Observable, is the Coins, found with the Pavement, and which is a good Argument to prove it to be Roman: For, as the Ingenious Mr. Tickell justly observes, in that excellent Poem of his, on

the Prospect of Peace, Pag. 10.

Coins mixt with Medals of immortal Rome, May clear Disputes, and teach the Times to come,

Though our Author, in the very first Page of his Discourse, does positively, and, I think, over-considently affert, that there were no Coins sound, and yet concludes the Pavement to be Roman; quite contrary to Camden, and Plot, and others, who always conclude thus; That where there are no Roman Coins sound, there was no Roman Station, but rather Saxon, or Danish. Now if what our Author in this matter so considently afferts, be true, I dare as considently affert, that this is the Only Roman Camp, in all Britain, at which no Roman Coins

ere Buried. But, I must beg leave to contradict our uthor a little, as to this main Particular: For the lan that found the Pavement, did confess to me, that found an Urn immur'd (which, as I hinted before as the first occasion of his searching farther; and hich, according to his Description of it, does really pear to have been an Urw: And most People believe. at he found Gold Coins in it, tho he conceal'd 'em, and obably dispos'd of 'em Privately, for fear, least the ord of the Mannor shou'd lay Claim to em: Therefore wou'd not confess, that he found any thing in the m, (tho' it is feldom, or never known, that these us are found Empty) but that as foon as he found it. immediately ran Home with it, and that upon the ting of it down upon the Stones, it fell all to pieces; uppose like those Sepulchral Urns lately found, in the side of the Old City-Wall, at London; some of which re so tender and rotten, that they immediately crumd, and fell to pieces. However, there are several cient People of this Place, that do folemnly profess, at upon the first discovery of this Pavement, they did d, amongst the Rubbish, several Old Copper Coins, d Sold em to People that came, out of Curiofity, to fit this Place, as they do ingeniously confess, that erwards indeed finding (the Dulcis Odor Lucri) the vantage of fuch Coins, they did get a supply of others. lides, some Ancient Farmers of this Place do say, that y have formerly found fuch little Old Pieces of Money Plowing their Land; but then, not knowing the lue of 'em, they were careless of 'em. But now to mine this matter a little more narrowly : The Coun-Farmer disowns that he found any Money in the What then shou'd induce him, as soon as ever he found the Urn, immediately, and in all halt, to Home with it, unless he first discover'd Money in Certainly he wou'd, in the first place, have fearch'd Pot, and feen whether there was any thing of Value it, and that was Worth his while Carrying Home? e Top of it was easy to be taken off, if it had any, the Search was as Easy and Natural. What made fo halty to run away with it, and leave his Man

8

n

n d

8

n

y

ıs y-

h

e,

y

n

y

16

ry

ď

in

rs.

of

nd

nt

Ir.

OM

dif.

ely

des

en,

hat

no

1

rts,

nly

and Horfes in the Field, that were Plowing with his Pollibly his Man might have found a greater Treafun whilft his Mafter flept Home with his Urn, that he fac had nothing in it, but Earth. If he had wanted a Spade or Pick. Ax. to have fearch'd farther, he had better have order'd his Man to have Shut-off the Horfes, and wen Home to fetch those Tools, whilst he himself had stay to fearch farther, as he cou'd. So that in fhort, eithe we must Conclude. That this Country Farmer was f Studid and Sottifh, and devoid of all Curiofity, as no to Itand to Search the Urn, before he took the Pains to haften Home with it (which was Six Furlongs backwar and forwards") Or elfe, we must conclude, that the Farmer was to much a Scholar as to know, that it we a Roman Urn, and therefore Valuable in it felf; and the the Old Roman's were us'd to Bury Money in fuch for of Pors ; and therefore to take it for Granted, that then was Money in it, and fo run away with it at a ventur But how is it likely, that a poor Country Farmer, thou understand what Roman Urns were? I'll warrant ve. h had never feen, or heard of any fuch thing as a Rome Urn, in all his Life time. How then coud he know Pecuniary Urn (as I may fo call it) from a Sepulchral Urn Or, either from a Flower-Por? Or (to speak more to h Capacity) a Money Pot, from a Honey Pot! I into therefore, that it is highly Probable, (if not certain that the Country-man did find a Pot of Coins with the Pavement. However, he show'd his Cunning in Co ecaling it, because (as I hinted before) they did, by the Ancient Statute of Treasure Trove, belong to the Ques the Reverend Dr. Wood, in his New Inflitute of the Imperial, or Civil Law, Pag. 80. By the Laws England (Tays he) Treasure-Trove, is, when any Gol or Silver, in Coin, Plate, or Bullion, has been of A cient time hidden; wherefoever it be found, a where no Person can prove any Property, it does belo to the King, or some other by the King's Grant. But to proceed: Our Author, tho' he allows not any Coins found with the Pavement, yet in his Difcoun

100

bag. 28. by way of Digression, is pleas'd to deliver

110

t

ar

th

O E

en

uri

שני

1

W

774

y h

nfe

tan

t th Col

y th

d

FI

WS

Gol

FA

elo

not

out r 1 Opinion concerning the Remons hiding their Coins, and favs . He thinks it was upon Prospect of a Resurn. A that the Romans hid fuch wall Numbers of Coins a And this they did (fays he) not only in the Year 418, and 4 ze fome other times, but chiefly in the Year 476 Whereas we find, that they began this Trade of Burying fo much Money here in Britain much Rarlier (no less than 340 Years) even as Early as the time of Vefaction. which was the Year 60. But this is too much Matter of Fact to be denv'd? However, that he might not take my bare Word for it. I refer him to the learned Sir Thomas Brown's Discourse of Urn Buriel, (which is onnex'd to his Enquiries into Valgar Birers) where Chap, ad he fays - It is not Strange to find Romes Coms of Copper and Silver among us (i. e. in Nerfalk) of Velpafian, Trajan, Adrian, Commedus, Antoninus, Severus, Dioclesian; Confiantine, Confians, Valent, with many of Victorinus, Polhumins, Tetricus, and the 20 Tyrants in the Reign of Gallienus, or.) Twere endless to multiply Odotations in this Cafe, Lihall therefore menion but one Author more, and that is the Reverend Mr. Morton, who in his Nat. Flift. of Northamptonfhire, pag Tio. tells us of Roman Coins found at Caffor in Northamptonfhire Where (fays he) are fuch Quanties thrown up, that a Man would really think (to we Mr Camden's Expression They were Sown there . Almost all of 'em are of Copper. They are the Coins of feveral Emperors, particularly Troien, Adrian, Alius Verns, T. Antoninas, Gordianus, Tetricus, Maximian, Caradius, Constantius Chlorus, Constantine the Great, Crifpas, Constantius junior, Constans, Magnentius, Valentinianus, Valens. And he proceeds to tell us, that the Reverend Mr. Banter of St. John's College, Cambr. has the best Collection he has seen of the Causs of this Place. So far Mr. Morton In a word, Perhaps e Cultom of Barning Bodies, amongst the Pagans, and by ying the Ashes and Bones in Urns, and the Custom Burying Money in Urns, commenc'd much about the me time; and fo was Originally taken from the Culton Burying the Nantum Charonsis, or Charon's Fee, in the me Urn with the Relicks of the Deceas'd. How-

cver-

ever, this we may depend upon, if we will believe Pliny, who in his Nat. Hist. L. 7. C. 54.) tells us, that the Cultom of Burying Bodies amongst the Romans, began in Cornelius Sylla's Time, who, (having violated the Sepulchre of Cains Marins, and fearing the like Ulage himself) was the First Patrician that order'd his Body to be Burn'd after the Phrygian manner: which accordingly happen'd A. U. 676. which was 74 Years before our Saviour's Time. And we are told by Macro. bius, (Saturn. 1. 7. c. 7.) that the Time of the Ceffation of this Practice commenc'd, when the Roman Empire became Christian, which we know was in Constantine the Great's Time, A. C. 306. So that the Duration of this Custom amongst the Romans of Burning Bodies, was 381 Years. And to long, and fomewhat longer, lafted the Roman Custom of Burying Money in Urns, and generally large Quantities of Money too, as we have feen.

Nor can I agree with our Author, that the Romans hid their Money (as they did their Pavements) purely upon Prospect of a Return. For granting they hid vall Numbers of Coins, yet I can never entertain fuch a wild Imagination as to think, That they any where, at any time, hid Numbers vast enough, or Quantities suf ficient for the Maintainance of an Army. What Uf then cou'd those vast Numbers of Coins be to em, i they had Return'd? They might perhaps have ferv'd their Generals for a little Pocket-Money for a while but those Coins divided amongst their Souldiers, would fearce, I am affraid, have been every Man a Penny The Coins they hid were, for the most part, Copper fome few Silver, but very few Gold. And 'tis we known that the Urns, in which the Romans us'd Bury their Money, were but small. But to make large Concessions as can be desir'd in this Case, let Suppose, that the Urns in which they Buried the Money, were as large as their Sepulchral Urns (tho' the bye, it wou'd be very hard to name the Place whe fuch large Urns of Money were ever found) yet, allo ing of this Supposition, still I ask, What wou'd One, even Two or Three fuch Urns of Money fignify wards Maintaining an Army? But, Why do we sta

pı

Dφ

0

or

ıs,

nis Fai e

t

5,

d

t

18

h

TS

0.

n

re

ne

of

as

ted

ge.

n.

ans

ely

raft

h

fuf.

U

v'

ile

ou

n

per

we

d

e :

eti

he

0'6

her

llo

e,

y t

tal

talking of little Pots and Urns? I should think that whole Chefts and Trunks, nay a whole Wagon Load of Money, wou'd scarce be too much for Maintaining an Army in a Foreign Country for any Term of Years. To fay nothing of the egregious Folly of leaving any, even lesser Quantities of Money behind em, upon a bare uncertain Prospect of Returning, when as the Bringing back with 'em any Quantity of Money, wou'd be neither Troublesome nor Dangerous. For, What Trouble cou'd a Quantity of Money be amongst Heavier Carriages? And, How seldom is it that Armies are Robb'd? Therefore I rather think, that they us'd to leave fuch things behind em, as so many incontestable Memorials of the Once Roman Greatness. (Which Custom has been Practis'd by our Own, as well as Other Warlike Nations; as France, and Spain, and other Countries in Europe can witness, and not only so, but another Quarter of the World too; Of which I shall produce but Om fingle Instance, still fresh in some People's Memories, and that is Tangier in Africa. When King Charles IId. Demolish'd this strong Place, in the Year 1682. he caus'd a great deal of our English Coin to be Bury'd there, as an undoubted Testimony to Future Ages of the English Prowes; as I am inform'd by the Honorable Capt. Bertie of Chesterton in Oxfordsbire, who was Himself in that Action. I need not mention that well-known Custom, Daily practis'd in This and Other Nations, of Burying Inscriptions and Coins under the Foundations of Famous Buildings, as fo many Hints and Memorandums to our Posterity.) And truly it was no mall Glory to Ancient Rome (the then Empress of the World) to have fent out her Victorious Legions, and pread out her Formidable Eagles, so Far, as even from One Corner of the World to the Other; and not only o have Conquer'd, but to have maintain'd her Conquelts or so long a Space of Time, which, as Historians tell is, was no less than 500 Years. For Julius Calar (in his Comment. Lib. 4.) places his First Expedition out of Gaul into Britain, in the Year when Cn. Pompey and M. Graffus were both the Second Time Confuls, which (acording to the Chronological History of Helvicus) was

698

piration of the Roman Government, when the Britains in vain Petition'd for Relief, was (as Bede tells us, lib. 1. cap. 13.) when Letius was the Third Time Conful with Symmachus, Valentinian III. being Emperor of the West, and Theodosius II. of the East, which was in the Year of the City (according to the aforemention'd Chron. Hist.) i 198, which was in the Year of Christ 448, and not as our Author wou'd have it 476. So that the Continuance of the Romans here, was exactly the Space of 300 Years. Which, as it was a good Argument of the Extensiveness of the Roman Power, so was it a good Reason for their Burying so much Money, to refresh the Memory of what stands upon the Everlasting Records of the Great-British and Romish Annals.

But now 'tis high Time to enquire, What Roman Station was here at Stunsfield, and to what Roman General this Pavement did belong? Why our Author (Pag. 4. of his Preface, as likewife in the Body in his Discourse) is of Opinion, - That this Pavement was the Pave. ment of the Principal Room of a Hall, or Palace, that was erected for a Roman Officer: And that this Officer was Subordinate to the Famous General Theodofius, and that the Hall, or Palace (fure not a Palace for a Subordinate Officer!) was put up about the Year 367 when Theodofius clear'd Britain of Barbarous Enemies. Well; and that this Theodofius Garrison'd Alchester (2 Large and well Fortify'd City, 7 Miles off Stansfield) eat the same time, with a considerable Number of well Disciplin'd Men. - Pag. 14. He goes on to tell us, That he also set Guards upon the Frontiers, and order'd divers Watches to be plac'd upon the High-Ways. "Tis possible (he does not fay Probable) there were s small Garrisons and Camps in divers Places all about, and particularly at Stunsfield, on the Hill where this Pavement was dug up : And in a Place on the West of Begbrook Church, just at the Entrance into the Parish of Bladon, commonly call'd Round Caftle (which I doubt enot, fays he, but 'tis Roman, and that it was form'd much about the same time with this at Stunsfield,) and calfo at Combe, about a Mile Southwards from Stunsfield .-. Other

0

Other leffer Houses were also built about these Principal Ones, for necessary Use and Gouvenience, and the Soldiers lodg'd all round to be ready upon all Emertgent Occasions, to hinder any Rebellion or Insurtrection, cor-

14

ŭ

ul

e d

ft

50

ly

as

¥ ,

1

.

ta-

al of

e)

ve.

er

us,

r a

57

es. (2

ld)

ell us.

r'd

ys.

ere

his

t of

ubt m'd

and

d.-

Thus has our Author laid out the matter as plain, as if he himfelf had been an Old Soldier, or at least, an Historian in the Roman Times. For my part, I must needs confess, that if I had tumbi'd over all the Histories in the Bodleyan, or, perhaps, the Vatican Library, I believe I shon'd scarce have found out, that Theodofus was ever in Oxford-fbire. I have read, indeed, that Theodo. fus was in Britain, that he came to London, and from thence steer'd his Course towards the North of Britain; and was very Successful over the Piers, and Scots, and drove them within their own Borders, and fo return'd. For before his coming, those Northern People were exreeding troublesom to the Britains, broke into their Country, and committed great Outrages; for which very reason Theodosius was sent for to quell'em; and as foon as he had done fo, he made hafte back agen to Rome; is I learn from Speed, and other British Historians: But I never knew before, that he ever fettled in this Soutbern Part of Great-Britain; or at least, that he ever vas at Allchester. And therefore, I can almost as soon bel ieve that Faultine was Hadrian's Wife, (as our Author, nd no body else but our Author, tells us, page 20.) as hat Allehester was ever the Station of Theodosius; of Stunsfield of his Subordinate Officer. Indeed it must be aid in vindication of our Author, that he only delivers is own Private Opinion, because 'tis confess'd that Pubick History is filent in this Case; but then it must be onfess'd too, that Opinion is generally grounded upon ome Reason or other, otherwise in the most natural Construction of it, it is nothing elfe but meer random Suess. I ask therefore, What Reason our Author had o think that Allchefter was the Station of Theodofius? Why Theodofins rather than any other General? Why or some General that we are sure, from History, settl'd n thefe Southern Parts of Britain; nay, that had his tation in this particular County? As for instance, Why

not

not Aulus Plautius, a Roman Prætor, (sent into Britain by Claudius the Emperor, Anno Christi 42, or thereabouts)

who (as Dion Caffins, in his 60th Book expressly tells us) took part of the Dobuni into his Protection fi.e. (as Camden explains it) Glocester-sbire and Oxford-sbire? Or, Why not Julius Agricola, the Lieutenant of Titus Vespasian, Anno Christi 79. who las we learn from Tacitus, that was his Son-in law, and wrote his Life) compleated the Roman Conquests here, and settled in this Southern Part of Britain? No. our Author imagines (page 13.) 'That the Pavement is Barbarous Work, and done in the Decline of the Empire; and that therefore it must have been the Station of some General that 'liv'd in the Decline of the Empire. And truly very likely. Yet still I ask this Question, Why Theodosius rather than any other General that liv'd in the Decline of the Empire? Sure he was not the Only General that liv'd in the Decline of the Empire. I reckon that Alleftus liv'd in the Decline of the Empire, as well as Theodofius; There's but 80 Years distance between them: I hope those few Years won't make much odds. Why then shou'd not Allectus be as likely a Man, as Theodo. fius? Nay, why not Allectus rather than Theodofius? Because we have some certain Information that Allectus was in these Parts, and settl'd here, and had his Station but 6 or 7 Miles off the Place where this Pavement was found: But we are fo far from having any Information that Theodofius ever fettled in this County, that we have no manner of Information that he ever fettl'd any where at all, in Britain. I consult my Friend Mr. Camden, fometimes, and wou'd as foon believe him, as any Historian whatever, especially, when back'd by the Learned Dr. Gibson; and they are of Opinion, that there was a Roman Station at Allchefter, (near Chefterton, but now in the Parish of Wendlebury) 6 or 7 Miles off Stunsfield, and just upon the meeting of Akemanstreet-Way, and the Port-Way from Wallingford, which Akemanstreet-Way runs in a strait Line from Allchester to Stunsfield: And that this Station was Founded, and Possess'd by Allettus (and not as our Author wou'd have it, Theodofus) who was sent into Britain, by Dioclesian, in the

P

u

C

n

de

no by

n

Year of Christ 187. Mr. Camaen's Words are thefe. page 256. Here are some few remains of an Old Deferted Station, which they call Allchester, perhaps in-Itead of Ald-Chester, or the Old Castrum, thro' which a Military-Way led. (Which Two Names in Mr. Camden's Britannia may be easily reconcil'd, by allowing it to be All-Chefter, in the time of the Romans, from Allectus; and Ald-Chester in the time of the Saxons, from the Saxon Word Calo, which fignifies Old). And Dr. Gibson, who has seen this Station, tells us (in page 271. of Camd. Brit.) that it is a Quadrangular Camp, or Garison, and that the Bounds of it are still visible, tho' the Area or Site of it has been for a long time a Part of the Common Field of Wendlebury. And the Dr. having perus'd Manuscript that treats of this Camp, seems to be of Opinion, that this same Camp did belong to the Roman Emperor Allectus. For (fays he) The Reason of the Name is an evidence of its Antiquity, whether we make it (with Mr. Camden) Ald-Chefter, or Allecti-Caftrum, from the Roman Allectus; an Opinion ingeniously deliver'd and maintain'd, with much show of Truth, in a short History of Allchester, the Original MS. whereof is in the Hands of the Reverend Mr. Blackwell, B. D. Rector of Brampton, in Northampton-shire. But (continues he) a better mark of its Antiquity is the Situation of it upon Akemanstreet, or Consular-Way-

)

3

e:

3

es

į-

1-

15

es

re

at

ry

45

ne at

11.

0-

0:

17

10-

us

n

on

ve

re n,

to-

ed

s a in

d.

nd et-

ld:

by

do-

The Ingenious Dr. Plot likewise, who has perus'd the same MS. seems to be of the same Opinion: The Roman Military-Ways, says he, lying so very agreeable to it. Page 340. of his Natural History of Oxford shire, he tells us, he met with some Notes in a MS. that say Allebester was the Seat of Allettus the Emperor, who having treacherously slain his Friend and Master, the Emperor Carausius, basely usurp'd Britain for himself, calling this new Seat after his own Name Alletti-Castrum (not Theodosii Castrum) since Allebester: But it seems it flourish'd not long, for Constantius Chlorus being sent against him, by the Emperors, Dioclesian and Maximian, by the benefit of a Mist, landing privately somewhere on the South-Shore, near the 1sle of Wight, (whether Allettus

came to prevent him gave him Battle, defeated, an put him to flight towards this his chief Forerals, but was overtaken and flain by Afelepiodetus one of Con Stantius's Captains (as the Author of this MS. will have it) at Alles field, now Ells field near Oxford, (which he also wou'd have to be a Corruption of Allertus Pield before he could reach it. Thus far Dr. Plots Transcript out of this MS. Which Relation is agreeable to that of the Roman Historians, only there is no mention of the Place. To inftance but in one, and that is Aurelius Field de Ciefaribus. Soli Carantio (fays he) remiffum Infula (i.e. Britannia) Imperium, postquam juffis at munimente Incolarum contra Gentes Bellieofas opportunior babitus. Quem Jane Sexennio post Allectris nomine, dollo circumvenit. Qui, cum ejus permissa Summe Rei præesset, stagitio rum & ob en mortis sormidine, per scelus Imperium exterserat: que asum brevi, Constantius, Aschepiodoto, qui Pratorianis Prafectus praeras, cum parte Claffis ne Legio num pramiso delevit. — Hist. Rom. Scriptores Lat. veteres qui extant, omnes, Pag. 622. Tom. 1. Which Relation of this Roman Hillorian, is likewife in all its eircumstances agreeable to the Relation of our British Historians in this matter, particularly Mr. Speed in his History of Great-Britain, page 171. - Caranfins, fays he, was kill'd by the Treachery of Allettus his Familiar Friend, in the Year 294. - Conftantino Chlords hearing of his Death, determin'd the recovery of Britain, and after great Preparations, palling the Seas, in a dark Pogg, or Mist, landed his Men upon that Shore Allectas, who had laid to imercept his coming, for look alfo the Seas, and meeting with Afelepiodotus, a great Scenefeball of the Pretorium, as a desparate Man halted apon his own Death: For encountring with him, he neither order'd his Battle, nor marshal'd his Men, but fought at random, very unfortunately: And having put off his Purple Garment, he was among many others flain, when he had held his Effate (r. c. his Government) Three Years.

The aforefaid MS. History of Allebester, weitten in the Year 2022, after it had sallen into the Hands of Die

Gibson, and Dr. Plot, at last sell into the Hands of the Reverend and Learned Dr. Kennet, who thought good to Print and Publish it to the World, by way of Appendix to his Parochial Antiquities of Ambrosden and Burcester, and has given it the Character of a Credible Relation, pag. 9. of his said Book. What Collections I have

100000

10

110

1

77.

20.

ien

rai

10-

al.

le.

its

i/h

his

WS,

Fa.

rels

Pri-

n a

ste.

ook

reat

Red

He

but

put

211

ent

n in

Dr

of on

made out of it, are as follow. All-Chefter, All-Caer, or Caer-Allect, was a Walled Town that was built (as may be collected by many Probabilities) by Caius Allectus, One of the 30 Tyrants, who by flaying his dear Friend and Emperor, Caraufus; in open Battle, at a Place 2 Miles distant from Allchefter, call'd after his Name Caurausfield, now Caversfield, (and fince more corruptly Cafefield) obtain'd the fole Government of Britain. - The very Enerench'd Sconce of Carans's Camp, where it lay, fill appears in the Plain upon Bayard's-Green, a Mile, or better, distant from the now Church of Caverfield. This Allchefter stands in the very Heast of Akemenstreet. Way, one of the 4 Great Ways that parts, the Land of Britain; generally call'd Erminstreet. Way, - a Mile from Birster, 7 from Woodfock, and o from Oxford. - In the Fore-Front of Allchester, Allectus, for his better Defence, built a Sconce, or Watch-Tower, the Ruins whereof still appear in a plain Plat of Meadow-Ground, (adjoining to Alkbefter) now the Soil of Thomas Moyles of Caversfield, Elq; but formerly of a Knight of the Name of Maund, where, in our Days, have been digg'd up much Roman Money, Brick, Tile, and Pavements of curious wrought Tile of the bigness of a Six-pence, being delicately laid there.---In the Year 1616. an Earthen Pot full of Brass Money, bearing the Stamp, Name, and Picture, some of Caraufius, some of Allectus, was found under the Root of a Tree, in Steeple-Claydon Parish, by the great Pond there, in the Woods of that Worthy Knight, Sir Thomas Chaloner, Chamberlain to Prince Henry: Which may feem, instead of many Authors, to give credit to my History. For it feems to be hid there, what time they went to the Field hard by, and the Hiders being either put to flight, prkill'd, it so continued till it was found by Sir Thomas's Woodward, William Richardson. Sir Thomas taking

(i. b. offering) me the Coins to be informed of the Interpretions what they meant, I found that the one Coin had this Infeription on the Right fide —— IMP. CARAUS. P.F. AUG. — which I Interpret thus, — Imperator Caraulius Pius Felix Augustus. And the other Coin had —— IMP. C. ALLECT. P.F. AUG. —— Both Emperors Pictures (i. c. Pleads) being on the Right fide Coronated Limitate, and on the other fide, both Coins had the Picture of Pallus, with an Olive Leaf in her Right-Hand, reaching it out in token of Peace offer d: And a Spear in her Left Hand, that if Peace were refused, then Wars should ensue, Oc. —— Thus far the Writer of that MS.

The Remarks that the Learned Dr. Kennet, and Dr. Plot have been pleas'd to make on this MS. are thefe: Dr. Kennet tells its (page oth of his Parochial Antiquities) the Story of Carantas and Allectus in thort is this. The guard of our Sea-Coasts, from the Infestation of Northern Pitates, was by the Romans committed to a flanding Admiral, under the Title of Comes Littoris Saxonici per Britanniam. He was Guardian or Warden of the Ports.... which Command about the 3d Year of Dioclesian, was on petition of Caraufius, a Native of Britain, or Ireland. given to him; who took this Opportunity of infiniating to his Country-men, that if they wou'd receive him for their King, he wou'd expel their Foreign Masters, and restore their Native Liberty. Upon this Prospect, he was admitted to the Government of this Ifle, and renounc'd all Tribute and Subjection to the Roman State: who refenting this defection, fent over Bufianus; but Caraufus by the affiltance of the Scots and Piels kill'd him. and defeated his Forces, and maintain'd his Supreme Power for 7 Years: About the Year 202. he was flain by Allectus - Allectus after 3 Years Reign was fain by Asclepiodotas, Anno 294, or 295. --- So much for the Story.

The fame Learned Hiltorian, speaking of the Coins, and other Remains of Antiquity, found at Allebester, pag. 13. goes on to tell us, That the Area or Site of Allebester has

1

been for many Ages, an Arable Part of the Common Field of Wendlebury; to as the Teeth of Time, and of the Plough, may be thought to have confirm'd all the Roman Religues : Yet by walking o'er the Ground find it eafy to collect many Fragments of Brick, Tiles, Urns, Veffels, and other Materials, all of Roman make, and enough to diffinguish this from any adjacent Soil-Great Variety and Plenty of Roman Money; of such elpecially, as is dated from the Decline of that Empire, has been wishin a few Xears gather'd and dispers'd. largest Collection is said to have been in the Hands of Mr. Lae, the Proprietor of Bignel Farm, in the Parish of Burcefter: The late Rector of Wendlehury, Mr. Bond. was by his Parishioners furnish'd with a considerable Number of em. And within a few Years, wherein I have apply'd my fell to some enquiry, I have procur'd more than roo feveral Pieces, most of which have been found by the Children of Wendlehurg, in following the Plough: They call 'em Allchester Coin. (Which, together with some other Coins the Doctor tells me, he has lately given to be reposited in the Library at Peterborough, of which he is now the Reverend and Worthy Dean.) There be Footleps still remaining of this Garifon at Alleheffer, in the Names of the 2 neighbouring Villages, Chefterton and Wendlebury : Of which the former lies contiguous to the Site of the Old City, and feems to have forung up from the Ashes of it, preserving the Memorial and the Name of Castrum, or Cestre. The other, tho' a small Village, has fwallow'd up the City, and keeps the Site of it within its own Bounds, on the East Part of the Common Field. This Wendlebury feems to derive its Name from the Wanders, who (as Zofimus tells us) were fent as Stipendiary Soldiers into Britain, by Probus the Emperor, whose Coins have been here found, Thus far Dr. Kennet.

.

13

a.

ig

70

br

ne

e.

e :

'n.

m,

ne

Sn

in

10

nd

13.

185

en

Dr. Blot, in his Natural History of this County, speaks of several Earthen Pots sound in these Parts, some for the use of Urns, some of Lamps, some of Lacrymatories, and others for Vessels of Oyl, and Aromatick Liquors. And what is of more immediate Concern, he relates (page 337.) that he saw, in the Parish of Wendsbury, a

great Square Stone, hollow'd round in the Middle, dug up in, or near the Old City of Allebester, in which there was fet a Glass Bottle fitted to it, containing nothing but somewhat like Ashes, and cover'd over above with another broad flat Stone ! Which Bottle he guess'd to have been a Veffel of some Aromatick Liquor. Another thing the fame Ingenious Author takes notice of fin the fame Nat. Hist, pag. 334.) is the Pavement found at Allchefter. He speaks of this Pavement under the Character of the most eminent of Roman Antiquities, made of small Bricks, or Tiles, not much bigger than Dice. Which Pavement flays Dr. Kennet, pag. 12. of his Parochial Hift.) does argue this Place to have been the Station of Allectus, or some other supreme General. And give me leave to add, that if the Pavement did not, yet the Coins of Allectus, and others, found here, do undeniably prove this to have been the Station of Alledus. Yet our Author is pleas'd to contradict all this, and feems to deny down-right Matter of Fact. For in a Letter of his to the Royal Society, Printed in the 25th Volume of the Philosophical Transactions, page 399. he has these Words. Tho' the Anonymous Author of the Antiquities of Allchester (at the end of Dr. Kennet's Parochial Antiquities of Ambrolden) derives it from Allectus, as if he were the Founder, (of this Station) yet there is no Authority, either from Coins, Inscriptions, or Books to countenance the Conjecture. Now if we should grant (what our Author seems to contend for) that there were no Coins of Allectus found at Allebester, yet wou'd it not from hence follow, that this was not the Station of Allectus. For no doubt when the Emperor Dioclestan fent Allettus as his Deputy into Britain, he at the fame time furnish'd him with a sufficient quantity of his own Coin, as appears from the abundance of his Coin found there. Nor was there any reason for AL lettus's coining any Money of his own, till he became a Supreme Governor himself.

But after all, notwithstanding what has been brought to prove Allchester to have been the Station of Allectus, yet it must be confess'd that some other General, or Generals after him, might have possess'd the same Station, 01

Va

So

lo

on

vi

nd

ot

ho'be was the Founder of it. Nay, Ctis beyond differed hat other Generals after him did possess the fame Staion : For we know, that Dioclefian (who fent Alettus nto Britain) after he had been Emperor fome time. nade Maximian, Confiantius Chlorus, and Galerius nentarius, Partners with Him in the Empire: As is evid ent from the Variety and Plenty of Corns found at All befler, as well of Emperors immediately Preceding, as f Emperors immediately Succeeding Allectus, down as ar as Constantine, but no farther; as I am informed by he Learned Dr. Kennet. So that you fee our Author's imperor Valentinian, and his Deputy Theodofius, are uite and clean cut out as having had nothing to do with his Station at Allchefter, there being no manner of Auhority, either from Coins, Inferiptions for Books; to ountenance our Author's Conjecture? That Allchefter was ever Garrison'd by Theodofins. If it found be Obs cted. That there were Other Emperor's Coins found at Illchester, who were preceding Allestus, as those of laudius and Probus, and confequently that this Station ight as probably be supposed to have been sounded by ither of Them, as by Allectus. I answer, 'tis no more n Absurdity to suppose, that Allectus might have made le of some of his immediate Predecessors Coins, than it for Queen ANNE, to make Use of King William's, ing James's, or King Charles's Money. The said and Now, confidering the Tellimony of the aforemenon'd MS. and its Agreement with both the Roman nd British Histories, together with the aforemention'd earned Gentlemen's concurring Opinions about it; onsidering the Tradition of Allectus's Setting here, he:Remains of his Station at Allohefter still bearing his lame, and his and other Emperor's Coins found there, tho were his Cotemporaries or immediate Predecessors some of which, as of Claudius II. and Dioclesian, who ent Allectus into Britain, I had lately given me by the

.

L

1

1

f

\$

1-

t.

è

t

t

è

f

it

IS

L

2

t

è-

1,

lonorable Capt. Bentie of Chefterton). These things onlidered, One wou'd think it shou'd be pretty good vidence to induce any Unprejudiced, any Impartial and Unbiass'd Man, to believe that it was Allestus (and ot Theodosius) that was the Chief Governor in these

Quar-

Quarters; and the Original Founder of this Station in confequently that the Subardinate Officer at Stansfel helden'd to the Bormer, and not the Latter, and the Stanisfield Camp was at firl a fed for an Officer Alleting For this you fee we have (befides the Ev dence of Coins) Plain (if not Publick) History back wish Reason: But for the other, nothing in the World but meer Fancy and Imagination, without either. An ernly, where Publick Histories, Records and Itingration are filent, there Private Histories and MSS (ofpecialis when we find they do not contradict any Publick Hills ries, in any Circumstance whatsbever, but rather agree with 'em' methinks shou'd weigh much with any One that is not obstinately bent to believe nothing but whi he reads in Suctioning, Tacitan, or his own Live Bo perhaps the MSS. I am contending for is Unknown What then? The History may be True and Baithful for all that. But if our Author is fo ready to give Credi to an Anonymous MS. that Vouches for the Veracity of Sir John Mandevill's History, that every Body knows be Fabulous, purely because he found it in the Bodleys Labrary, as he tells us in his Difcourse by way of Di greffion, I was going to fay, by way of Diversion; hope he won't scruple to give Credit to a MS: History that, in the Opinion of feveral Learned Men, carrie the Face of Truth.

As for our Author's other circumjacent Camps and Garrisons, in the Parishes of Combe and Bladon, an other Places (which he has so eleverly laid out for hi General) I have nothing to say to em, because the either might, or might not, have been Romany so eught that He or I know, he bringing as little Authority for Them, as he has done for Other Camps: Only I cannot but take Notice, by the bye, That he make That to be Roman, which the Dearned Drd Plot make to be Danisto, I mean his Round Castle near Beginn Church, which methinks carnies a Contradiction in the very Name; yet our Author makes no doubt but that is Roman, whereas Dr. Plot (Hist. of Oxfordsho 244) gives a very good Reason why he takes it to be Danis and that is because its Rounds, sor that is the general

p

C

Mihalon the tells and property between Same in wift Camps, the one being Round, the other Squite. ut; to help our Author out at a Dead-lift here, 'tis the pinion of the aforemention d, and Learned Mr. Mortie. his Natural History of Morthempton fore, p. 708 hat the the Romans did generally make their Camps a Square Figure, Populatoral, or Oblong, yet that is general Rule had its Exceptions, and that in fome art of the Time in which they Govern'dus, probable wards the Declembon of the Empire hey varied om it. For otherwise it will be hard to recount for note Roman Coins, which are found in great Plency. nd perhaps other Antiquities of that People, in Tonie these Round or Oval Camps. I should have been apt have taken those large Square Entrenchments on allow Hills (but a Mile off Simifield Camp) to have een Roman too, but that I pay more Deference to De. bot's Opinion than my Own, who takes them to have the Works of the Saxone The Reafon why I wild have thought em to be Roman is this. They cell sthat the Romans in fixing their Stations, blooms tich'd upon Grounds that were Driell and that were of Over-look d'by any Neighbouring Hills. --- No Moss aftris infinineat per quem supervenire Plostis aut prospeced offit quid in Caftres agather, Tays Perginus Gromission Castrametatione, as we have it in Greovius's Roman ming. Tom. 13. Pag. 1021. Now Callow Hills Being leighbouring Hills, and being Higher Ground than that n which our Stunsfield Camp stands, wou'd be almost lealon enough to induce a Man to believe the Works pon it to have been Roman, had not we reater Hillorian rondune'd em Saxon. The had the Dr. he'd till now, e might perhaps have feen Reafon enough to have hang'd his Opinion. But after alk tis no Abfurdies to ty, that the fame Camps might have fucceflively beong'd to the Romans and Sarons both. For my often henrion'd Friend, Mr. Morton, in his Excellent History Northampton force, p. 523, is of this Opinion, and clis us, We may well imagine, that chefe Roman Camps, of whatever Form, were made afe of by other Nations which Insuded us, and officially by the dates.

V

h!

rld

10

th

fto

IG

me

hi

Bu

rn

fo

di

90

st

ya

Di

;0

ory

FIC

.

an

an

hi

he

fo

ho

nl

ke

ke

100

th

a i

en

she Rampiers of (at least) some of their Campier little decay'd, and probably made use of the as the had occasion. For which very reasonable Opinion

produces feveral Inflances: Let us now return to our Stunsfield Camp, and con fider the Curious Work of the Pavement, and the mai Figures upon it. Our Author (Page 13.) is pleas'd condemn it as Barbarous Work, and fays, It does no carry Life enough to make us believe, that it was don in the Flourish of the Empire. And yet I dare appear to all the World besides, that have seen it, whether o no, confidering the Nature of the Work, the Antiquit and valt Variety of it, it may not be Adorir'd as the mo Elaborate Piece of Roman Workmanship, of this for and One of the Finest of the Telfelated Pavements, this has been hitherto found out in all Britain. A Man upo a nice View of it, observes such an exact Symmetry, an due Proportion in all its Parts, but more especially in the Human and Animal Figures, where the very Shade that give Life to all Figures, are visible (as on the Righ Leg of the Man, and the Right Side of the Circle the encompasses these Figures) insomuch that One canno forbear commending the perfect Beauty of the Whole Quanta Cura, quanto Artificio, Veteres bec Paviment elaborarint! as Barbarus expresses it in the 684th Pag of Gravius's Antiquities, Tom, 10, Allowances mult made for the Decay of the Varnish upon the Stones, and the Largeness of the Stones themselves of which the Pavement is compos'd. Had the Materials been Fine the Work had been Finer: Tho' I think, Fine enough for the Tent of a Subordinate Officed. What I tak chiefly to be observed in This or Other Works of the like Nature, is the exact Symmetry and Proportion Let our Author but compare this Pavement with thol mention'd by Dr. Plot, in his Nat. Fift. of Oxford-fb.p. 335 Or that mention'd by Dr. Gibson in his Additions ! Camden's Britannia, Pag. 607. Or that mention'd by Di Woodward lately found near Bishops-Gate, in London Or that truly Gotbick One mention'd in the Philof, Trus p. 324. (which may be allow'd to be Barbarous Work

Or even that mention'd, and fo highly and juffly to coll'd by Mr. Morton, in his Nat. Hist. of Northamplone fire, p. 527. Or perhaps any other, either formerly or lately found out, excepting only that to be feen before the Altar in Westminster- Abbey, (of all which by and by) and I dare say he will be asham'd of the Comparison. Nay, his Own Picture of the Stansfield Pavement (done Ea qua par est Fide ac Diligentia, ut videtur) is enough to Contradict him. Which Draught (to give the Calcographer his due) is admirably well taken, only I beg leave to find Fault with the Animal Figures, which I can by no means allow to come up to the Original, as to instance but in One or Two Particulars, One is the Abdomen of the Human Figure, which I take not to be full enough. The Other is the Thyrfus, which should not be held in the Left-Hand, but between the Left-Arm. Another thing is the Skirt of his Mantle, which shou'd be made to appear on both Sides his Body. And therefore I thought good (in order to give my Reader a better dea of these things) to get the bare External Lineaments of the main Figures Engraven by a very Careful and skilful Hand, Mr. Benj. Cole of Oxford, who by feveral Ingenious Performances in the Art of Surveying, has figvaliz'd himself to both Universities, particularly by hose Two Maps (lately Publish'd by him) of Oxford nd Cambridge, and 20 Miles round each: As also by a hort Treatife (Publish'd by him some Years ago) thewng the Method of taking Heights and Distances, and Measaring Land, Oc.

THE PROPERTY OF

r o

Pag Chan an the ner take the

io

noi 333 5 Dr 100 17k But we need not stand to Dispute much about the Finesels or Rudenels of the Work, fince we agree so well as to the Age of it: Therefore so much for the Work.

Our Author is no less Positive in giving us an Acount of the Workman. Pag. 12. he tells us plainly, That the Artist, that did this Work, was not only a Tessalarius, and a Maker of Bricks, but also a Souldier. Sut this sure must be very Improbable, considering the reat Danger he must run of losing his Life in a Battle, and the certain Loss the General must Sustain in losing a cood Workman; and withal, the Difficulty of recovering his Loss, by sending for another Workman, from so Let us now halten to consider the main Figures upon the Pavement. Our Author distinguishes these Figures into Human and Animal Figures, which Distinction I am forc't, for Method's sake, to comply with, the it be Un-Grammatical, and Ill-Logical. Pag. 4. of his Presace, and in the Body of his Discourse, he is of Opinion, That they are the Figures, not of Bacchus, but of Apollo-Sagittarius, and of a (he knows not

what) Fictitious, Monstrons Animal; And that these Figures, were represented on the Pavement on purpose to fignify, that the Contrivers of it attributed all the Success, which Theodosius had obtain'd against the Northern Barbarous People, to the Providential Care

of Apollo. Another Reason which he gives, page 17. why Apollo was put on the Pavement, was, That the

Romans might thereby ingratiate themselves the more with the Britains, who had a greater Opinion of

Apollo, than of any other of the Gods.

And the Reason he gives for the Figure of the Monflet, is this, 'To Strike an Awe upon the Minds of the
Speciators. So that here we have two different Sorts
of Figures cleverly put together, by a strange kind of
Magick Art, sure, to raile two different Passions in
People at once, but to Please and Terrify the same
People at the same time. Which truly is strange indeed. But, for my part, I can find nothing in this
Animal, that is so Terrifying, or deserving the Name of

Monfter.

And as for the Posture that his Apollo is in; some take the Human Figure to be sitting upon the Animal: But this (says he, page 16.) is a manifest Mistake, he being placed standing, and holding up his Right Leg, Almost in the same manner, that we see the Souldier on some of the Coins of Constantine junior described, lifting up his Right Leg, and violently pushing with a Sword, of Dagger, at one of the Souldiers that is prostrate beneath But I must beg leave to distent from our Anthor likewish in this Particular: For any one, with Half an Eye may easily discern that the Human Figure, on our Stanffeld Pavement, is not violently pushing with a Sword

ſ

a

N

n

wat

or Spear, and therefore shou'd be suppos'd to be either in a Sitting, or else in a Dancing Posture.

And as for the Thyrsus between his lest Arm, he knows not what to make of it, or how to reconcile it to Apollo, but calls it a Dart, or Javelin, and wou'd make us believe, that he has seen it adorn'd Almost (again) in the same manner in some other Monuments of Anti-

quite but mentions none.

n

2

I

it

18

).

f,

ot

£.

fe.

he

he

TE

7. he

re

of

on-

the

rts

of

in

me

In-

his

e of

ake

But

ing

roof

ome

up up

L, di

ath

will

Eye

40

Of

And as for the Cup in his Right-hand, page 15. he mis-calls it a Patera: Whereas a Patera is a broad Bowl, or Bason, without any Handle to it, being a Vessel us d an Sacrifices, to pour Wine on the Victims. And thus tis represented by Oiselius (in his Thesaurus Namis. Antig. pag. 180. Tab. 28. Fig. 2. (9c.) where he gives us the Figure of a Coin, with Apollo upon it, holding a Patera in his Right hand. Sometimes indeed I have seen a Patera, represented as a Bosol, with One long Handle to it, and to M. Misson (in his Voyage to Italy, Vol. 1. p. 132.) describes it. What our Author means by a Patera, is a Patella, a Two-ear'd Bowl or Bafes, very wide at Top, like a Punch-Bowl. But all these are quite different from the Figure on the Payement; for that is nothing elfe but the Cup, or Contherus, dedicated to Racchus, in the shape of a Simpulum, or Chalice, Big-belly'd, Strait-neck'd, and Narrow-shank'd, with Two Curve Handles to it. So that had our Author took things by their Right Handles, he shou'd have call'd it a Cantharus, and not a Patera. But to return to our Human Eigure.

If this Haman Figure (as he calls it) did really represent Apollo Sagittarius, then I shou'd think that a Bose and Arrows (with which he is commonly represented) wou'd be more proper in his Hand, than a Javelin. But after all, I am apt to think, that our Author has a wrong Notion of Apollo Sagittarius; for Apollo Sagittarius signifies an Angry, and not a Kind, Deity: For his Arrows were always reckon'd fatal. With them he slew Python, and with them he kill'd the Greaks. And for this reason, in the Oxford Almanack for the Year 1711. we find Apollo and Pallas, both put together in some grand Consult, as being both Warlike Persons. For as the

One is accouter'd with Her Shield and Spear, To is the other arm'd with his Bow and Arrows, for some Martial Exploit. Otherwise he lays aside his Bow, and takes his Harp in hand. I chose the rather to have re. course to an Almanack for Illustration, because it is so eafily referr'd to, and so obvious to every one's View. But not to infilt upon Almanack-Proofs, the Oxford: That Apollo Sagittarius did bear fuch a Signification among the Ancients, we are plainly inform'd by Pierius, in his 42d Book of Hieroglyphicks, Cap. 17. --- Sagittas (favs he) Apollinis, Pestilentiam manifestissime fignificaffe, nulli dubium, de quibus late apud Homerum. Ha vero Hieroglyphice Solares Radios indicant corrupto Cali tractu Contagiem illam dispergentes. Neither will the Description that the Ancients have left us of Apollo in General, ferve his turn, or answer the Figure upon the Payement, For Apollo is describ'd as a Youth, without so much as the Down of a Beard upon his Chin; his Hair long, never cut, but dischevell'd, and as it were flowing with the Wind; He is Crown'd with Laurel; His Garments and Sandals shining with Gold: He holds a Bow and Arrows in his Right-Hand, and a Harp in his Left; Sometimes he has a Shield in One Hand, and the Graces in the other: At other times he is cloath'd with a Long Robe, and carries a Harp, and a Cup of Nectar, the Symbol of his Divinity. He has a Three. fold Authority, in Heaven he is the Sun, and so bears the Harp, to fignify that all things there, are full of Harmony: Upon the Earth he is call'd Liber Pater, and and carries a Shield, to show him the Protector of Mankind; In the Infernal Region he is Apollo, and whoever is struck by his Bow and Arrows, is immediately fent thither. - This full Description of Apollo, our Author may please to review in Dr. King's Historical Account of the Heathen Gods (which I have faithfully Transcrib'd Word for Word,) and therein he will find nothing that answers to the Figure on the Pavement, excepting only Two Parts of his Character out of Ten, as, That he was a Youth, and that he had a Cup in his Hand Tho' (now I think on't) even out of these Two Parts he is, (as we have feen) Mistaken in One; taking

1

W fi

h

01 (a

T th

no in

ger (u

th

fo

m

he

II.

nd

re.

fo

W.

on

us.

tas

40

ali

he

he

out

his

ere

el:

lds

in

nd h'd

ee.

ais

lof

and

an-

ver

hor

unt

an.

ing

ing

and

TU

g

161

Patera for a Cantharus. And this must needs answer the Description of Apollo! But where then is his Hard? Or, where is his Shield ! Where are his Bow and Arrows? Where is his Graceful Long Hair? What is become of his Long Robe, and his Sandals thining with Gold? And those other Essential Parts of his Character? Never fure was the great God Apollo (the First of all the Offspring of Jupiter, and the Chief Head of the Majes too) fo degraded, difarm'd, and difrob'd, eyc. 'Tis well he was only a Fiftitious God, like our Author's Fiftitious Animal, and fo not able to revenge it. To be despoil'd, in the first place, of his Harp, by which (as the Ancients tell us, and they are the best Judges) he show'd his excellent Skill in Musick! Then to be deprived of his Shield. by which they say he show'd himself the Protector of Mankind! To be difarm'd too of his Bow and Arrows, by which he show'd his Justice, in Punishing Disobedient Mortals! To be stript Naked, and robb'd of his Long Robe, by which he show'd his Majesty! And at last, to be Debas'd so far, as to be laid Prostrate on the Ground, to be Trampl'd on under foot! A Statue had been more proper for fo Great a Deity: And fuch a Posture wou'd have fuited with Bacchus well enough, because he often lays other People on the Ground, when they make too free with his Nectar. But so much for the Human Figure on the Pavement.

Let us now proceed to examine the Animal one, by which our Author means the Quadrupede. find him very cautious how he gives any Name to that, having had so much Trouble and ill Success in making out the Human Figure, and therefore cunningly calls it (as I hinted before) by the General Name of Monster: Tho' even here I think he is out too, for I should not think it proper, to call a Beast of an Ordinary Size, and no Extraordinary Shape, by fuch a Name; at least, not in the Poetical Sence of it, of Monstrum, borrendum, ingens. -- However our Author, upon Second Thoughts (which they fay are Best) disdaining to be Gravel'd in the History of Animals, is at last resolv'd to find a Name for it if he can. Accordingly, Pag. 17. he wou'd fain make it a Gryffin, only he could not tell where to find any

any Wings for it. So this won't do, till he comes to Pag. 20. and there he thinks the has found out the My-Hery, for there he sells us plainly the thinks that the Artift had fome regard, in Deligning thele Figures, to the Story of Apollo's Killing the Buthon (If So, our Apollo Sagittarius fhou'd change his Name too, and be call'd Apollo Pythius.) But hang it, this won't doneither. For, Pag. 21. he sells us, 'That this Serpest was of fo thrange and terrible a Kind, that nothing like it had hardly been feen or heard of before, that it was fup. spoled to possels Nine Acres of Land, and that more were able to give an exact Representation of it. Nay then, fince it is Not to be Represented, we may be fure she Artist had no regard to this Story; for the Monster upon the Pavement happens to be not fo Big as the Man. Well: What must we make of it then? Why, fince this won't do neither, then our Historian (being pretty good st Invention) is at last for making it a Dragon. For, Pag. 19. he tells us, 'That if the Artist had no regard to the Story of Apollo Pythius, yet the Cultom of the * Romans, uling a Dragon on their Enfigns, in the latter Times of the Empire, wou'd have been enough to fuffify and warrant the Artist in what he did of that wind. And now at length I don't at all wonder at our Author's calling this Fictitious Animal a Moufter: Since in a few Minutes time, we have feen it chang'd into us many Shapes, as a Camelion into Colours : First a Monfer, then a Gryffm, then a Python, then a Dragon, and in the refult, an Anonymous Monster again as good as ever.

Now if I may be allow'd to give in my Opinion concerning these Figures on the Pavement, I date be bold to affert, that they are the Figures of Bacchus and his Panther: And that this is no bare Conjecture, no random Guess, or idle Fancy, we may quickly be convinced by comparing the Descriptions that the Ancients havegived us in their Histories of Bacchus, with the Delineations the Artist has given us on the Pavement. Now Pierric in his Hieroglyphicks, Natalis Comes his Mythologia, Galeruchius's History of the Heathen Gods, Abricius de Imaginibus Deorum, Spanhemius his Dissertationes de Prestantia & Usu Numismatum Antiquorum. Oiselius us

his Thefaurus Manif. Assig. Quid's Metamorphofes. Alexander Rofs. Macrobian Oppianas: And of late the Ingenious Dr. King, in his Hillorical Account of the Heathen Gods. These, and several other Authors do all agree in this general Defeription of Bacebus and his Parther. That he was represented as Youthful, Beardleft. and Naked, That he was Crown'd with Ivy; That he had his Cantharas, or Cap, in one Hand, and his The fac in the other, which was a Spear adorn'd with Vine Branches and Toy; and the Panther was dedicated to him, as being a Lover of Wine : And laftly, that he was the first that show'd his Subjects the Magnificence and Solemnity of a Triumph. All which particular Characters do most exactly correspond with the Figures on the Pavement. But to be more particular, and to bring fome Quotations out of Two or Three of these Authors' of most undoubted Credit; for it would be Endless and Needless to quote 'em all.

Pierrus in his Hieroglyphicks (Lib. 41. Cap. 15.) speaking of Baechus's being a Youth; and of the Ivy being dedicated to him, says thus ——Hedera Libero-Patridedicata est, quod illa semper vireat, ut & Ille semper

Iuvenis.

to

y-

he

to

ur

be

er.

fo

rad

up.

one

Jay:

Hec

fer

lan.

his

boo

or.

ard

the

tter

to

that

our

nce,

0 45

fer,

the

r.

con-

bold

hi

dom

d by

i ven

tions

CTIM

Ga

He I

:5

The same Anthor, speaking of his Thyrsus being adorn'd with Ivy, or Vine-Branches, has these Words, (Lib. 41. Cap. 14.) — Thyrsus, quod Telumest ligneum, circumsus Hieroglyphice indicat sub-ipsius suavitate latitare. Hinc Macrobius Liberum Patrem ait ferire per obliquum, circumsus Hedera latitante mucrone. — Let me add, that Botanists make Hedera Virginiana and Vitis, to be Synonymous.

The same Author (Lib. 51.17) speaking of the Canbarns being dedicated to Bacchus, gives this complexe
Description of it. — Cantharus Baccho dicabasur, qui
edem babes à latiori ambisa in angustum stemps o interias
lustu se contrabentem, ita as ea pars tota desidentibus in
urvis costulis describatur: mon intumescit in Calicem,
uod nomen nostris in sacrificiis tot janu abbine annis, specie
ibil quicquam immutata, receptum est: Hine iterume
ngusturi incipis, totoque ambitu intro versus incurvari,
uo andia ubi aliquantalum processeris, rursum aporisus,
tectatera in summo passessis.

Lib. 11. Cap. 20. He gives this Account of his Part ther .- Cum Panthera ita cum Vino confensum quen! dam habere videatur, Baccho ea olim fertur dedicata. Sed quoniam funt qui per Eam Ebriositatem intelligunt, Hieroglyphicum à re ipsa desumptum ego crediderim: Sunt enim Panthera Vini admodum avida. Spanhemius likewife, and Oppianus are of the same Opinion, as we shall seebye and bye. But our Author, pag. 16. is pleas'd to take upon him to contradict Spanhemius, and other eminent Writers, as to this Particular, and fays, 'That the Tyger, 'or Panther (for I think he makes 'em to be all one) was not dedicated to Bacchus, because he is a Lover of · Wine, but because Bacchus Conquer'd a good part of the Indies, where was a great number of Tygers: For (says he) the Cat-Kind, of which the Tyger is one, has an Aversion to Water (but, it may be, not to Wine). Now by our Author's good leave, I wou'd call this Trifling. For what fignifies it, for what reason the Tyger was dedicated to Bacchus, if so be he does but allow that That Beast was dedicated to him. And if he will not allow that, he must contradict all the Historians that ever wrot about Bacchus.

Pierius, lib. 11. cap. 30. tells us of an Ancient Coin he has feen, that had Bacchus's Head on one fide, and his Panther and Thyrsus on the Reverse. - Vidimus sane Nummum antiquissimum ex ære quod apud Maffæos habetur, in quo Liberi caput Juvenile Hedera redimitum; altera vero facie Panthera & Thyrfus pulcherrimo opere cusi sunt. Et in Gallieni plerisque Nummis Panthera nota est cum Inscriptione. Libero P. CONS. AUG. i. c. Libero Patri Conservatori Augusti. Oiselius likewise, in his The faurus Numismatum Antiquorum, pag. 231. speaking of one of these Coins of Gallienus, says thus -- In boc Gallieni Nummo Libero-Patri five Baccho inscripto, cernitur tantummodo Panthera, Animal [cilicet Baccho Sacrum. And gives us a Picture of the Panther, which Picture does exactly answer to the Figure of the Stunsfield Pavement. The Head, Ears, Eyes, Legs, long Neck, and long Tail, and the Spois, and Shape of the Body; and in short every part is like. The like Figures of the Panther are to be feen in Spanbemius's Differtat. de Præstantia de Usu Numis. Antique p. 206. Also Gesner's Hist. Animal. l. 1. p. 824.

m

ef

Q

He

Res

bricies de Imaginibus Demum, gines es this Chaeafter of Bacchus, - Exat Image fue facis Mulichi. Perfore ando, Capite cornuto, Vitibufque coronato, qui fuper Tigride, wel Panthera equitabat.

Ouid in his Third Book of Metamorphofes, this Compendious Description of Bacchus,

Tole, racemiferis Frontem circundatus Dris. Pampineis gritat velatam Frandibus Hallam; Quem circa Tigres, fimulacraque inania Lyncum Pictarumque jacent fera corpara Pantherarum.

For we frequently find em confounded by the Ancients. and often taken the one for the other. Unde factures etiam (lays the famous aforemention'd Spanhemins, in his Differt. de Proft. & Usu Numifen. Antig. p. 166.) at pulso Antiquariorum Antesignani abvias in Nummis id genus Feras, promifcue Soleant de Tigridibus, Pantheris,

aut Leopardis anterpretari.

f

r

15

1-,

7

at

ot:

er.

in

nd

us

cos

7:

ere

ota

. c.

in

ak-

-In

cer-

um.

loes

ent.

and

part

n in

ntiq.

1

The same Learned Author Sporbemius, in the same Book, and the same and other Pages, gives us this clear Account of Bachus -- Bachus Panthera vellus. Cujus rei ratio (says he, pag. 205.) petita ex Natura de indole Animalis, Vino inprimis dediti, is Vinosiande ab Oppiano dilli. Hinc mos ille Veterum in Bacchi Simulacris Pantheriscum ad pedes ejus flatuendi, in quem Lyzus ille Vinum i Scypho, quem dextrà tenet, continuo effundit; finiftra autom Thyrfum seftat. Cujulmodi plures extant ctiamnum, tique insuper Mole, elegantia, Notatione locorum Spectabiles imprimis Nummi.

And now let any Impartial Judge but read these Decriptions that the Ancients have left us of Bacchus, and then look upon the Delineations that the Artist has eft us upon the Pavement, and fee whether they be not Adequate in all respects. Insomuch that I much meltion whether any Modern Artist now a days (either Roman or British) cou'd make a more compleat Repreentation of Bacchus, with the same fort of Materials.

And after all. What more proper Eigure in the World or a General, or Officer's Tent, especially for One that ad been Victorious, shan that of Bacchus? It being an

Emblem of Triumph. For Bacchus was the first Author of the Great Triumph, as Godwin tells us, in his at Book of the Roman Antiquities, pag. 208, And if we look into the 2d Ode of Horace's 4th Book, we shall there find him Describing the Triumph of Bacchus: from whose Name Talmath (in Pancirol. L. rerum depet. Cap. de Triumph.) and several other Authors, do derive this Word Triumphus, Bacchus being in Greek call'd Ocianto , which by a little Alteration is made Triumphus. But why our Author will not allow the Old Romans to have had any thing to do with Bacchus. I can't Imagine. I question not for my part, but that the Old Romans, especially their Generals, were as Jolly Rogues, and as great Lovers of Bacchus as any of the Moderns. Witness the Jolly Emperor Gallienus, that lov'd Bacchus so well, that he us'd to wear him upon his Coins, or at least, his Panther, for the fake of his Mafter; as we have feen. And witness likewise, the Noble Cafar that was us'd to inebriate his Soldiers, jul before a Battle, thereby to fortify their Infides, as well as their Outsides. For as, Sine Cerere & Baccho frige Venus, fo, Sine Cerere & Baccho (ay and Tobacco too friget Mars.

But Obe jam fatis est, enough (if not too much) of this Stunsfield Pavement: Let us now go on in search of some other Pavements. There have been much such other Pavements (the same as to the Nature of the World tho' different in the Form) plough'd up some Years ago at Great-Tew, and Steeple-Aston, in the same County of Oxford, as we are inform'd by Dr. Plot, in his Nature

th

pu

or

ho

History of Oxford Shire, pag. 335.

Another Ancient Tessellated, or Musaique Work (sare told of in the Philosophical Transactions, p. 324) we found in digging a Cellar, about 40 Years ago, Leicester, over against the Elm Trees, near All Saint Church, about a Yard and half under the Common Stace of the Earth. The Figures upon it are those of Man, a Stag, and a Boy. 'Tis generally call'd Aster by such Authors as mention it, being a Representation the Fable, which say, That a Person having son sault with Venus, she to be reveng'd of him, engage her Son Cupid to sall in love with a Monster.

101

見る中

15;

do

eek ade

the

bas.

hat

olly

the

hat

pon his

the

jul

wel

rige

too

1) (

ard

fuc

orl

ago

ty

/W

0,

ainl

St

of

90

atl

fou

ga

Other Pavements we are told of, by the Reverend and Ingenious Dr. Gibson, in his Additions to Camden's Britannia, that were found in Waleis 1 pag. 603. At Kaer-Went in Monmouth force, in the Year 1689. (lays he) there were 3 Chequerid Payements discover d in the Garden of one Francis Ridley; which being in Frolly Weather expos'd to the open Air, upon the Thaw the Cement was diffolv'd, and this valuable Piece of Antiquity utterly defac'd. So that at present there remains nothing for the entertainment of the Curious, but the Cubical Stones whereof it was Compos'd; which are of different Sizes, and Colours, and may be found confusedly scatter'd in the Earth, at the depth of half a Yard. Chequer'd Pavements confift of Oblong, Cubical Stones, commonly about half an Inch in Length; whereof some are Natural Stones, wrought into that Form, and others Artificial, made like Brick. These are of several Colours, as White, Black, Blue, Green, Red, and Yellow; and are close pitch'd together in a Floor of fine Plaister, and so dispos'd by the Artist, with respect to Colour, as to exhibit any Figures of Men, Bealts, Birds, Trees, &c. In one of these Pavements (as the Owner relates) were delineated several Flowers, which he compar'd to Roses, Tulips, and Flower de Luces; and at each of the 4 Corners, a Crown, and a Peacock holding a Snake in his Bill, and treading it under one Foot. other had the Figure of a Man in Armour, from the Breast upwards. There were also Imperial Heads, and ome other Variety of Figures, which, had they been preserv'd, might have been Instructive, as well as Diverting to the Curious, in the Study of Antiquities. In heir Gardens, and elsewhere, in this Village, they fremently meet with Brass Coins, which an Ingenious and Worthy Gentleman, of that Neighbourhood, has tor ome Years Collected.

Another Chequer'd Pavement, the same Learned Auhor tells us, pag. 607. was discover'd in the Year 1602,
n the Grounds of the Honoured Henry Tomkins, of
Kaer-Leion, Esq; in the same County. Twas sound by
Workmen a Plowing, in a Field close adjoining to his
souse. It lay no deeper than the Plough-share, and that
t Kaer-Went not much lower. See the Fig. of it in Cam-

den, pag. 697. The Diameter 14 Foot. All the Arches, and that part of the Border they touch, were composed of White, Red, and Blue Stones, varied alternately. The Bills, Eyes, and Feet of the Birds were Red, and they had also a Red Ring about the Neck; and in their Wings, one or two of the longest Feathers were Red, and another Blue. The inside of the Cops were also Red; and elsewhere, whatever we have not excepted of this whole Area, is variegated of Umber, or Dark-colour'd Stones and White. Mr. Tomkins took care to preserve what he could of this valuable Piece of Antiquity, by removing a considerable part of the Floor, in the same order it was found, into his Garden.

Another Teffellated Pavement we are told of by the Learned and Ingenious Dr. Woodward, of the Royal Society, in his Letter to Bir Christopher Wren, which Letter is inferted in the 8th. Vol. of Leland's Itinerary, but out by Mr. Hourne - In April 1707. (Tays he) upon the pulling down of fome Old Houses adjoining to Bishops Gate, in Camomile-Street, London, in order to the building there a-New; and digging to make Cellars, about 4 Foot under Ground, was discover'd a Pavement, confifting of Diced Bricks, the most of 'em Red, but fome few Black, and others Yellow; all near of a Size, and very small, hardly any exceeding an Inch in Thicknels. The Extent of the Pavement in Length was uncertain, it running from Bisbops-Gate, for 60 Foot, quite under the Foundation of fome Houses not yet pull'd down. Its Breadth about to Foot. Sinking downwards, 4 Foot deeper, they found feveral Sepulchral Urns, full of Ashes and Cynders of burnt Bodies. Also other Earthen Vessels, as a Simpulum, and a Patera of very fine Red Earth, and a Blewith Glass-Viol,

4

41

41

cf

١ i

4

4]

١ e

e i

There have been likewife fuch fort of Pavement found in places where Religious Houses have been built as particularly at Woodchester, in Glocester-spire, where in the Church yard, in digging the Graves, they us to find such Tesserick Work of Painted Beasts and Flow

"call'd a Lacrymatory, &c. Alfo a Human Skull, and

Bones, dug up in the same place,

ers, 2 or 3 Foot deep ___ as we are inform'd by Discibles in his Additions to Camden; and Tradition tell

us, that there had been a Religious House built there. But our Pavement at Stansfield cannot be improfed to have belong d to any Religious House, or Temple, the Figure upon it being so very improper.

7

nd

ir

nd

ď;

its

ď

YC

by

me

he

val

ch

ry,

on

to

he

18,

nt,

but

że,

ck.

in-

ľď

n.

tal Ho

ra,

nd

nts

ere

W

Dr.

Carce 1 in Breadth.

At Bybury likewise in the same County, scho, I think, not any Religious Flouse there) about 45 Years ago, was found another Tesserack Payement, composed of Marble, and Roman Bricks, as I am informed by that Ingonious Virtuoso, and my very good Friend, Mr. Oldistanti, Stationer in London, who had some of the Stones, and whose Father (who had an Estate there) did design to have built over it, but that the Stones were, by degrees, all taken away by great numbers of People that came out of Curiosity to see it.

out of Curiolity to fee it. Likewise the Reverend and Ingenious Mr. Morton; my very good Friend too, and Countryman, whom I have had frequent occasion to mention, (in his Matural History of Northampton-shire, lately published) tells us of feveral Roman Pavements found in the faid County, particularly at Cafter, where (fays he, page food) in diggings little way beneath the now Surface, they frequently meet with small square Bricks, or Tiles, fuch as the Romans were wont to make their Checquer'd Pavements of; and particularly in the place which is now the Churchyard, and on the North fide of the Town. In digging into that part of the Hill, which the Church stands upon, they find these little Bricks almost every where; fometimes fingle and loofe; fometimes fer together and hx'd, or inlaid in a very hard Cement or Mortar. The loofe ones appear to have been laid in the same manner as are those which are now found in entire, or unbroken Pavements. A pretty large piece of this fort of Pavement entire, is still to be feen in the Cellar of a House, on the South-fide of that Hill. It was found in digging for the Cellar, 3 Foot deep; and has now the Site that it had at fielt. 'Tis somewhat above 3 Foot in Length,

like those found in digging in the Church-yard, and

ellewhere at Cafter, about an Inch square. The shape is not exactly the same in all, but they all approach

that of a Cube. The Work of that entire Piece is

plain, without any Variety of Figures, or of Colours.

The Bricks that compole it, are

'The Squares are fet in Mortat made of Sand and Lime, with a mixture, as it feems, of the Scales and Dust of a Smith's Forge. In the Field betwixt the Town and River, are found vast Quantities of Roman Coins.

But the Pavement that most resembles our Stunsfield Pavement, only it has no Animal Figure upon it is that remarkable One this fame Ingenious Author gives an Account and Plan of Pag. 527. -A Roman Pavement (favs he) discover'd Anno 1600, in Horse-Stone Meadow at Nether-Heyford in Northampton-Shire, about Half a Mile from the Watling freet A most Noble Piece of Art, exceeding all I have feen or read of, of the same Kind in England, in the great Variety, in the Regularity, and Beauty of it The whole Work confilted of little Bricks or Tiles artificially ting'd with Colours, and as smooth as Marble: all of 'em Squares, fomewhat bigger than common Dice, of 4 Colours, White, Yellow, Red, and Blue, dispos'd into various, regular Figures, which were plac'd with great Exactnels. By what remains of the South fide of the Pavement, we may learn it was about in Foot in Length from East to West. The Extent of it from North to South, uncertain; the Discovery not reaching far enough for it. It lay under Ground, cover'd with Mould and Rubbish, in a part of the Meadow which is every Year overflow'd with Land-Floods: And yet when it was first uncover'd, it was so close and firm, as to bear walking upon as well as a Stone-Floor wou'd do. But having lain a while expos'd to the Night-Dews, the Cement became relax'd, and the Squares easily separable. It appears to have been the Floor of a square Room in fome House, or other Structure of a Circular Figure, and above 20 Yards Diameter; as we may conjecture from the thin and pale Green-sword in this Place, which is different from the rest of the Meadow, and which probably covers the Remains and Ruins of it; for where. ever they dig, underneath this discolour'd Green-sword, they meet with Floors, Foundations of Walls, or other Remains of some Ruin'd Building. The Room that had this Curious Floor was in the Southern part of the faid In the Western and Northern part of it were several lesser Rooms, or Cellars, about to Foot in

Length, and 4 Broad. That there really were such little Rooms, is plain enough from the Partition-Walls, the Bottoms whereof have been discovered in digging The Stones of the Foundations are fmall and mean, for a Structure fo finely adorn'd as this is within. Some of the Rooms were found Floor'd with a firm Plaister of Lime-Mortar, drawn upon Pebbles fix'd in Lime. The Borders, or Sides of the Floors were painted with a strait and parallel Lines, or Stripes of a different Colours, Red, Yellow, and Green; to fresh and lively. that when the Floors were uncover'd by the Diggers, the strokes of the Hairs of the painting Brush were plainly visible. No Painting appear'd in the inner part of any of 'em - The Floors were all upon the fame Level-Upon one of these Floors were found 3 Urns, oc. Thus far this Ingenious Author; where, by the bye, it must be observ'd. That he wrote this Account (in which he fo highly extols this Pavement) before he had feen our Stunsfield Pavement, as he himfelf told me.

But the Best of this Kind of Musaique Pavement, is now to be seen before the Altar in Westminster-Abbey, (a Pavement not Unworthy so Sacred a Place) being made of Opake Gems, of various Natural Colours, cut Square by Lapidaries, like Dice, some Triangles, and in divers Goons, suited to Meandrick Turnings and Windings, but no Animal Figure represented. Dr. Faller (in his Worthies of England, pag. 369.) tells us, 'It was made of Rich Porphyry, by certain Workmen brought from Rome, by Richard de Ware, Abbot of Westminster,

'in the Year 1260.

į.

h

2

.

L

n:

k

h

S

851

54

1

191

in

m

ng

th

is

en

ar

ut

he

le.

in

re,

are

ich

ich

EC.

rd,

her

had

Said

rere

I might here mention several other Roman Pavements, that have been found in Great Britain, but these may suffice to give us a Taste, as well of the Antiquity and Rarity, as of the Delicacy and Excellency of the Roman Workmanship. I don't doubt, but more of these Valuable Pieces of Antiquity might be found, wou'd Men but be Perswaded to take a little Pains to search for em under those Tumulus's, or Heaps of Earth, that were cast over em: Tis very likely they wou'd find either Pavements or Urns, or some other Reverend Relicks of Ancient Times, under most of em.

FINIS.

Delcription to be Roman. Preside to be le femm Hiftoribus 1 1001 Letto) The Fallian of the Super The Curions War ftructure. The water on tach Side of the HE The Pages from 2 13 Palument scoontailifen # 4 Wo . ara falle Pageli Holo. 6 No Subterrations Stove a sib The the Worthwar mas in his The Corn that covered the Page What the figure on the Rage ment accounted for Roman Colles Tound with the That the Human Figure does YOSPhoening Tanin and np. 61 w morneprefent Apollo. 14 3 play 9. Why the Remand left thair May 144 Not a Mavelin, sbut alligifit new behind eme Quantities of it. p. 10 in his Left-Hand. 25 Not a Paters, but Gentharu; in his Right-Hand. init Stanisfield Camp belong a not at The minut Tigore no Min. d to Blendefier's Officer, op. 13 Mer. Land & The most base 12 But to Michais 27 That they are the figures of proving that Medius had his Allchester , Bacohus and his Panther as Mr. Cometres Opinion offite 18 The Figure of Borrow in Emblem of Tribulon 15 Dr. Giblon's Opinion of it bid. abid : 29 Other Benemmes founde in This and Other Counties as To Dr. Phr's Opinion of it. p. 15.19 AUTHORS mention d in this small Treatme. M Angelius, Victor Agu Salmurk. Gord Sucromuse 32 Burron. Criti 17 Oifelius. 2 Aufonius. 33 Godwin 18 Hyginuse Varro. 4 Ovid. Popinius. 19 Barbarus 35 Ross so Graving, # Smagefins. 236 Steward 27 24 Rierius. 6 Vellerus. gri Woods. Kircherus. 122 Pliny. 38 Speed mitin 23 Bergierius Vitruvius. 46 Gildon 24 Natalis Comes o Peraltus. as Galerudinus WP Plot 19 sd : un Garaeus polAbrinus 3 14 1 Salmafins. Water Water 27 Spanhemius Patricius. 43 Millen 13 Helvicus 28 Macrobius. 45 Kennet. 29 Gelier. 14 Dede. 40 Morto

tis Cofaris Commentil go Oppientis.